

## False Circumcision of the Jews/Mutilation – Condemned

As usual, my remarks here are in Red. Hyperlinks are underlined in Blue.

First, I want to point out that False Circumcision/Mutilation did NOT originate with Abraham as many of the Jewish world claims. Even the Savior Yahshua did not explicitly say it was OF Abraham in *Yahchanan/"John" 7:22*: Moses ordered you to practice circumcision—not that it began with him, it goes back to the patriarchs—and you circumcise on the Sabbath. (NJB)

Mosheh lived long after YAHWEH had already instituted the sign of Circumcision with Abraham, so literal mutilation is not a Law OF Mosheh. The reference is simply carried over by Mosheh, since True Circumcision is a Law OF YAHWEH and remains valid. It is a righteous choice if circumstances permit. However, Scriptural Circumcision is not like that of this deceived world. The origin of mutilation of the foreskin is Pagan. Egyptians practiced this literal mutilation, since the beginning.

*Tyndale Bible dictionary, pg 285*

**Circumcision in the Ancient World.** The rite of circumcision is far older than the Hebrew people. Cave paintings give evidence that it was practiced in prehistoric times. Egyptian temple drawings show that the operation was common in 4000 BC and probably earlier.

One of the hardest things I see taking place in Scripture concerning the word “**circumcision**” is: that one word is being used when referring to two different things (while readers lack discerning this). The mutilation practice of Egyptians is being done by Jews and others under the guise of being a command from Yahweh to Abraham.

One only needs to research Egyptian funerary and baptism rituals from sources like, *The Book of the Dead*. The ancient Egyptians worshipped through the shedding through the mutilation like him. The severed was practiced a great mysteries. With that them would have Egyptians also mutilated penis). You have been immortalized rebirth. They mimic this hopes of becoming a god perpetuate their kind. It initiation into the who were enslaved by to be an inferior race. The represents the apparent phallus/obelisk in Washington, D.C. (the Washington Monument). Have you noticed these are everywhere depicted without foreskin? The earth was also symbolized by Pagans as being the womb. So a Penis/Phallus in the Womb/Earth also depicted fertilization. This is a symbolic sign of their initiation into the mysteries.



The Apostles blatantly denied the Jews’ use of the Scriptural term being used as if it is a euphemism for true Circumcision.

The Apostles called the Jews’ own practice what it truly is: Mutilation.

**Galatians 5:11-12**

11 And I, brothers—if I were still preaching circumcision, why should I still be persecuted? For then the obstacle which is the cross would have no point any more. 12 I could wish that those who are unsettling you would go further and **mutilate** themselves. (NJB)

Notice the apostle said “IF” he were STILL preaching circumcision (literally)... The apostle was a part of a sect of Jews who obviously interpreted a lot of things literally. But Shaul repented, converted and became an apostle of Yahshua.

Notice what else Shaul said:

**Philippians 3:2-4**

2 Watch out for those dogs, those men who do evil, those **mutilators of the flesh**. 3 For it is we who are the circumcision, we who worship by the Spirit of God, who glory in Christ Jesus, and who put no confidence in the flesh— (NIV)

Notice the definition of **Mutilate**:

*Webster's Revised Unabridged Dictionary (1913 + 1828) & Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (11th ed)*

**Mutilate**:

**To cut off or remove a limb or essential part of; to maim**; to cripple; to hack; as, to mutilate the body, a statue, etc. (a.)

**Deprived of, or having lost, an important part**; mutilated. (*Lost..? STOLEN! Stop Thief! THEFT is condemned in the Law of Yahweh*)

Notice the precise synonym study:

**MUTILATE implies the cutting off or removal of an essential part** of a person or thing thereby **IMPAIRING its COMPLETENESS**, beauty, or **FUNCTION**

Notice the terms used as a result of the procedure (from *Circumcision Information Resource Center of Colorado*):

[A change to how the penis works](#)

Circumcision **removes** one-third to one-half of the penile skin system (*How is that COMPLETENESS?*). This drastically alters the normal **FUNCTIONING** of the penis and causes problems for both men and their partners. Male circumcision **REDUCES** pleasure for men **and increases vaginal friction and discomfort for women**. (*Ever wonder why they have RIBBED condoms? To restore the Function of what they hacked off!*)  
This radically alters the normal **FUNCTION** of the penile skin system.

[Loss of erogenous tissue and sensation](#)

**Men also experience many detrimental physical and sexual changes** because of circumcision. The most serious change for men is a significant reduction in sexual pleasure. The foreskin, which is removed during circumcision, contains many thousands of erogenous nerve endings. Approximately half of a man's capacity for pleasure is cut away by circumcision. **These nerves are cut away** by both infant and adult circumcision.  
Men who were circumcised at birth are never able to experience the sensations these nerves provide, and so don't know that they are only feeling about **HALF** of the pleasure that an intact penis provides. (*How is that COMPLETENESS? How is that not being IMPAIRED?*)

Notice in Webster's:

**Maim**

(v. t.) **To mutilate**; to cripple; **to injure**; to disable; to impair. (*Do we not think that an infant or adult is at least injured in this mutilation?*)

The Law of Yahweh condemns injury to another. (Leviticus 24:19-20):

19 —**Anyone** who **injures** a neighbour shall receive the same in return, 20 broken limb for broken limb, eye for eye, tooth for tooth. **As the injury inflicted, so will be the injury suffered.**

**LIMB**, n.

**3. A thing** or person **regarded as a part or member of, or attachment to, something else**. (*Foreskin is attached to, uh... the PENIS!*)

As concerning the ancient Hebrews, notice how it is a fact that they lost the origins of their true Scriptural Circumcision Rite.

*Harpers Bible Dictionary, pg 170*

**circumcision**, the removal of the foreskin (prepuce) of the male penis. In the ancient Near East, the practice varied. Some societies, including the Hebrews, completely amputated the prepuce, while other cultures (e.g., the Egyptians) made dorsal incisions upon the foreskin. In ancient Israel and in Judaism, circumcision was routinely performed upon infants of eight days (Gen. 17:12; Lev. 12:3; Luke 1:59; 2:21; Phil. 3:5), though circumstances might permit or require performance upon adolescents (cf. Gen. 17:25) or even upon grooms (cf. Gen. 34:14-24). **Having lost all memory of the origins of the rite**, the Hebrews attributed **different aspects** of the practice to divine injunction to Abraham (Gen. 17:9-27), to

Joshua (Josh. 5:2-7), and to Israel (Lev. 12:1-5; cf. Exod. 12:44, 48). In one obscure passage (Exod. 4:24-26) reflecting an ancient stratum of tradition, Zipporah, Moses' wife, is credited with saving her husband's life by circumcising her son. Apparently the ancient ritual had an apotropaic dimension: it served to ward off evil. Against that background, the significant theological reinterpretation of the rite in Gen. 17:11, where circumcision serves as a sign of God's covenant with Israel, may be appreciated. This theological reevaluation has remained a basic explanation of the symbolism of the rite.

**Q:** It is admitted that the Hebrews lost ALL memory of the ORIGINS of the rite, so how can ANYONE —claim in totality that Circumcision means to Mutilate an infant? It shows that what is now called “circumcision” is from DIFFERENT aspects of various Scriptures and is a —theological REINTERPRETATION.

*The Anchor Bible Dictionary, Vol 1, pg 1025*

Hebrews **adopted** the W Semitic practice of circumcision as they moved into Palestine (Genesis 17; Josh 5:2–9). Because circumcision occupied a central place in the Hebrew sense of cultural and religious identity, **each generation had to appropriate and interpret it. As a result, the Hebrew understanding of the rite's significance became extraordinarily rich.**

After being shown above how the origins of the rite had been lost, now this other source says that each generation had to APPROPRIATE and INTERPRET it. And then as a result, the significance became —extraordinarily rich (*or extraordinarily full of deceit is what it turned out to be*).

**Q:** Once again, since it has merely been INTERPRETED and assigned to VARIOUS PURPOSES according to whatever is SUITABLE for each generation, how can any such believers in Mutilation prove Scriptural Circumcision truly means to physically Mutilate an infant?

**Q:** How can anyone say with a clear conscience that you KNOW what it is and even the Hebrews didn't, because they merely interpreted it for whatever purposes? It's like looking up a Hebrew word and not even knowing where it came from or what it really means anymore. From Scripture, the same Hebrew word translated “Foreskin” is used of Fruit (Lev 19:23), the Heart (Deut 10:16), Ear, Lips etc in Scripture. In English, the definition of “FORESKIN” refers only to the PENIS.

**Q:** So how can anyone in English say “fruit”, or “heart”, or “ear” etc literally have “FORESKIN” (of the penis)? That is completely idiotic. But it is written like that in every Bible translation and everyone has accepted it without thought! Our own English does not translate the Hebrew word properly because they obviously don't recall all of what it truly means! So how can anyone prove that everywhere the word in Hebrew is used means “foreskin” OF THE PENIS? Prove it to me despite the fact that the Hebrew and English even can't because it uses it of fruit and ear etc. If one examines all of those instances, you will find that ALL of the instances for the Hebrew word translated Foreskin, can easily be seen as being applied **Spiritually** for something **concrete**. But carnal men ignored this fact and carnally/literally translated it everywhere for a word that only refers to the flesh of a person's sexual organ. That is only ONE Witness for a carnal meaning being used for all the concrete things it refers to, as opposed to the many witnesses for the logic of it being applied everywhere for its normal Spiritual use. The Law of Yahweh calls for two or three witness to establish a matter (Deuteronomy 19:15), so they failed to establish that according to the Law. Furthermore, it ignores the Scriptural fact that true Circumcision could not be done by the hands of man, but by YAHWEH only.

*Dictionary of the later New Testament and its developments*

**5. The Epistle of Barnabas.**

The *Epistle of Barnabas* is important both for the exalted, perhaps canonical, status it enjoyed in parts of the early church and also for its unusual perspective on the rite of circumcision. **The author denies emphatically in Barnabas 9 that physical circumcision was ever required by God and offers two arguments for his thesis. First, the only valid OT commands relate to the metaphorical circumcision of the ears or heart** (he quotes Ps 18:44; Is 33:13; Jer 4:4; 7:2; Ps 34:11–12; Is 1:2, 10; 40:3; Jer 4:3; Deut 10:16; Jer 9:26).

*Dictionary of the later New Testament and its developments*

**5.2. Circumcision Recast.** In these texts circumcision is also given a new meaning. For example, in the *Epistle of Barnabas* Abraham points to Christ through his circumcision, which was solely a —spiritual witness of the grace to come, through the death of Jesus, not as a seal of a grace already given (Barn. 9.3-4, 7–9; Siker, 150; cf. Gal 3:8; see Grace). **The circumcision of the Jews in the flesh is even seen to be the misleading of an evil angel** (Barn. 9.4).

Like Paul, Justin emphasizes Abraham's justification by faith apart from circumcision (Justin Dial. Tryph. 11.5; 23.3-4; cf. Rom 4:9-10). Not only does physical circumcision fail to bring righteousness, but only the spiritual circumcision of the heart has positive significance (Justin Dial. Tryph. 11.3; 24.1; 34.1; 43.1; 67.9; 118.2), which Christians receive through baptism.

Justin further states that circumcision was given to Abraham because God foreknew that the Jews would be disobedient, particularly by virtue of their responsibility for [Yahshua's] death (*see* Death of Jesus). **Circumcision was a mark of those designated for punishment** (Justin Dial. Tryph. 16.2-4; 19.2-5; 92.2-3). (*See also [Yeremyah/"Jeremiah" 9:25-26 below and Galatians 2:3-4](#)*)

*The Anchor Bible Dictionary, Vol 1, pg 1031*

The *Epistle of Barnabas* indulges in full-blown allegorical interpretation to assert a much less sympathetic view of circumcision. Circumcision of the flesh has been abolished. **Not those who are uncircumcised but those who require circumcision are deceived by an evil angel** (*Ep. Barn.* 9:4). Christians, however, have received true circumcision of heart and ears which reveals to them what scripture really says (*Ep. Barn.* 9:1-3, 9; 10:12). **Ep. Barn. presents a mirror image of Qumran: although it equates circumcision of heart and ear with revelation as do the Qumran writings, it assumes that the angel of error inspires the literal practice of circumcision**, instead of apostasy from the law. **In the Gospel of Thomas, Jesus denies the value of literal circumcision but upholds an unspecified spiritual value of true circumcision** (*Gos. Thom.* 53). **Later Christian writers view circumcision as silly (Diognetus 4.1, 4) or as INEFFECTUAL with God (4 Ezra 1:31-32 / 2 Esdras 1:31-32):**

<sup>31</sup> When ye offer unto me, I will turn my face from you: for **your** solemn feast-days, **your** new moons, **and your circumcisions, have I forsaken.** <sup>32</sup> I sent unto you my servants the prophets, whom ye have taken and slain, and **torn their bodies in pieces, whose blood I will require of your hands,** saith the Lord. (*So the mutilation practice of tearing bodies in pieces and blood spill does NOT PRODUCE THE PROPER OR INTENDED EFFECT with Yahweh!*)

**Q:** IF we are commanded to literally Mutilate the penis, WHY does Scripture warn that He is going to PUNISH ALL that are circumcised in the foreskin?!

*Yeremyah/"Jeremiah" 9:25-26*

25 Lo, days are coming—an affirmation of Jehovah, And I **have laid a charge on all circumcised in the foreskin**, 26 On Egypt, and on Judah, and on Edom, And on the sons of Ammon, and on Moab, And on all cutting the corner of the beard, Who are dwelling in the wilderness, For all the nations are uncircumcised, And all the house of Israel are uncircumcised in heart! (*YLT*)

24 Lo, days are coming—declares the Lord—**when I will take note of everyone circumcised in the foreskin:** 25 of Egypt, Judah, Edom, the Ammonites, Moab, and all the desert dwellers who have the hair of their temples clipped. For all these nations are uncircumcised, but all the House of Israel are uncircumcised of heart. (*Tanakh*)

Here is a man to consider: MELCHIZEDEK. There is another OBVIOUS FACT about him that I'm sure escapes everyone, besides how he is called Priest of Yahweh Most High, while there wasn't even a physical LEVITICAL Priesthood order. And that other FACT is: He could NOT have been "circumcised"/Mutilated. ABRAM met him ([Genesis 14:17-19](#)). ABRAHAM did NOT meet him. He met ABRAM (ABRAM had not even received the sign of Circumcision yet) ([Genesis 17:10](#)) and Melchizedek is called the Priest and King.

**Q:** Now since it is claimed that everyone must be circumcised/mutilated even after they discover who they are etc (this would mean he would have been commanded to do this just after it was given to Abraham). So wouldn't he have been directly SINNING, since the Law says that no Priest shall cut his body? ([Lev 21:5](#), [Deut 14:1](#))

**Q:** And so, prior to meeting ABRAM, how was he making or even eating ANY Holy Offerings etc, since the Law forbids any UNCIRCUMCISED PERSON from eating them? ([Ex 12:48](#), [Lev 22:10](#)) So now try to recall how Yahshua is called High Priest and again how Yahshua is called King after that order (*See [Hebrews 7](#)*).

**Q:** There are several Laws prohibiting us from various Cuttings of our bodies ([Lev 21:5](#), [Deut 14:1](#), [Lev 19:28](#)) to Gashing and Maiming ourselves ([Lev 19:28](#), [Deut 23:1](#), [Lev 22:20, 22, 24](#)) and even explicitly forbidding Cutting/Cutting Off the PENIS ([Deut 23:1](#)) etc. Now if we can't even cut ourselves from a little degree to a great degree, how can Yahweh turn around and tell us to Mutilate an infant?

You'll have to excuse me, because being a Hebrew Israylite, I had thought this was literal, but after studying it and then having to deal with the shock of seeing [an infant being Mutilated on video](#) etc. And knowing I even had my own children mutilated without knowing--has not set well with me, so I'm not at all at ease right now. I find it callous and inhumane for

someone to wink at this, especially if they have seen it without flinching in its entirety. It's funny because a circumcised/Mutilated Penis literally gets callous. I challenge everyone to watch such an entire [video](#) in full screen with the sound played at realistic levels without looking away from what they think they want done to their own children and others. Believing in [Myths](#) is absurd.

Anyhow, the very first time Circumcise (the ACT) is used in Torah is in **Deuteronomy 10:16**, "Circumcise therefore the foreskin of your heart, and be no longer stubborn." and **Deuteronomy 30:6**, "And the Lord your God will circumcise your heart and the heart of your offspring, so that you will love the Lord your God with all your heart and with all your soul, that you may live."

(This is THE Command of Mosheh that EVERYONE is ignoring). It has been circumvented and is not even counted as one of the 613 Laws. It has been PUT DOWN and REJECTED.

**Q:** What so called Law OF Mosheh is put in its place? Search [Rambam](#) and [Torah Reference Guides](#) and you'll see that these are NOT even called a Law for some strange reason but yet it IS a Law OF Mosheh that is REQUIRED and includes a PROMISE.

The first time Circumcised is used, is in Genesis with Abraham and throughout. However, at this point I'm hoping it is clear that what Yahweh did with Abraham is NOT a Command OF Mosheh. Just as one CANNOT COMMAND a man or woman to physically have children, since it is NOT a Command OF Mosheh (This Law ALSO is in Genesis: to be fruitful and multiply). It was given before Mosheh as well. So Genesis cannot even be a factor in this. And neither did any of the Apostles refer to Genesis as the Command they were arguing.

Anyhow, Circumcision is Commanded in [Leviticus 12:3](#) (Which again all the things concerning LEVI must be remembered). That is what the world now CLAIMS their Mutilation practice is founded upon. Anyhow, **Leviticus 12:3** says: [YAHWEH] said to Moses, <sup>2</sup>—Say to the people of Israel, if a woman conceives, and bears a **male child**, then she shall be unclean seven days; as at the time of her menstruation, she shall be unclean. <sup>3</sup> And on the eighth day the flesh of **his** foreskin shall be circumcised.

It does NOT say, "...when a CHILD is **8 Days Old**", then you must Circumcise him etc. Hebrew is precise. And YAHWEH will precisely have all MALE CHILDS Circumcised **on the 8th day** (whatever that means). Moreover, Male Child includes ANY MALE that is not Fully Mature etc (but then again, that is probably seen as a mystical thing, so it will probably get thrown out the window). But [Revelation 12:5, 13](#) says, a MALE CHILD is born (YAHSHUA) but it is speaking of these Last Days. So I suppose He is going to morph back into a child? The point is: everyone is taking it CARNALLY/LITERALLY, when it is OBVIOUS that the 8th Day is Spiritual or else everyone must PROVE what a literal 8th Day is in Hebrew thought, since Yahweh only gave man a SEVEN Day Week (Plan of Life).

Also, if you notice closely in [Leviticus 12:3](#), no AGENT is shown concerning WHO will take the flesh of his foreskin and Circumcise it. That is because YAHWEH will do this here. Just as in [Yahshua"/"Joshua" 5:9](#), it shows Who actually did it. NOTHING else about this Law is shown in Leviticus.

If you remember in Harper's it shows the Hebrews lost ALL memory of the rite and have since INCORPORATED various aspects of DIFFERENT Scripture in REINTERPRETING this. [Genesis 17](#) says, "a Child of 8 Days" (literally it says, a Ben/Son of the Eighth Day). The language is NOT even the same as in [Leviticus 12:3](#). Leviticus mentions a MALE/Zakar CHILD/Yeled. Genesis doesn't. In Exodus it shows BLOOD, but NOT in Leviticus. In Yahshua it shows a Flint Knife. But Leviticus DOESN'T. In Genesis a Covenant is mentioned (implying their newly interpreted Leviticus Mutilation MUST be done). But NO Covenant is in Leviticus. But the most astonishing thing of all is that NOWHERE in Scripture does it show a child crying or even expressing pain after what looks like literal circumcision/mutilation (e.g. [Exodus 4:25](#)). Do you see what has been done here yet? Leviticus does NOT encompass all these things that Hebrews later ADDED to what is now called "circumcision" today, due to a theological REINTERPRETATION as explained above. That is like me taking a Heifer from one Law and using it in place of a Goat in another Law. Or that like taking the hanging for sins in one Law and apply it to the sins of an animal in another Law. That is like agreeing to Service in one Law, but applying it as Promise in a similar Law. I can't see how that is nothing short of blasphemous.

**Q:** Where is the proof on how such interpolations can be Lawful?

When Yahshua Mashiach lived, there was no confusion. He even put their stupid practice down in a clever and intelligent analogy. Never does Scripture command or show a child being Circumcised on the **SEVENTH DAY** (Shabbat). But theirs, like the world's practice today involves literally counting up to the eighth day when a child is born, which can easily fall on the SEVENTH DAY (SHABBAT!). Moreover, theirs is like literally saying, Command a PAYMENT and WORK to be done on what could easily be THE SEVENTH DAY/SHABBAT (which IS in such a way that the Law of

Mosheh can be BROKEN). The Law of Mosheh prohibits Buying and Selling and conducting Work (Non Priestly) on Shabbat. Furthermore, Mutilating a child on the SEVENTH DAY/SHABBAT is doing just that and could not possibly bring the JOY to an infant for which Shabbat is supposed to encompass! So that is another way in which it can be broken by their “count”.

But True Circumcision is a Command done in a way, “so that the Law of Mosheh CANNOT be BROKEN”. So it STRICTLY says EIGHTH DAY, because it is indeed Commanding a Work to be done (but in a way in which the Law of Mosheh itself CANNOT be broken). And it is not in the class of an exclusive Priestly duty to permit any kind of exclusion. It was done by a father, a woman, the person himself etc. So that includes ALL tribes. Again, it STRICTLY says it is done on the EIGHTH DAY.

When Yahshua addressed the Jews about this and their circumcision/mutilation, they thought He had a demon, because they could not see how they were trying to kill a Man. It sounds like Yahshua made a false accusation just out of nowhere.

#### ***Yahchanan/”John” 7:19-24***

19 Has not Moses given you the law? Yet none of you keeps the law. Why do you seek to kill Me? 20 The crowd answered, —You have a demon! Who is seeking to kill You? 21 [Yahshua] answered them, —I did one **work**, and you all marvel at it. 22 Moses gave you circumcision, and YOU circumcise a MAN on the Sabbath. 23 **IF** on the Sabbath a MAN receives circumcision, so that the Law of Moses may not be broken, are you angry with me because on the Sabbath I made a MAN’S **whole body** well? 24 Do not judge by **appearances**, but judge with right judgment.

But it is clear how they were trying to kill “a MAN” upon close analogy.

#### ***Yahchanan/”John” 7:19-24***

19 Has not Moses given you the law? Yet none of you keeps the law. Why do you seek to kill Me? 20 The crowd answered, —You have a demon! Who is seeking to kill You? 21 [Yahshua] answered them, —I did one **work**, and you all marvel at it. 22 Moses gave you (**TRUE**) circumcision (not that it is from Moses, but from the fathers), and YOU (of your own self) circumcise (false circumcision) a MAN (they even enforced it upon MEN, when Mosheh says a Male Child) on the Sabbath (the weekly Sabbath is literally the SEVENTH DAY). 23 **IF (IF Mosheh TRULY commanded)** on the Sabbath (**on the SEVENTH DAY**) a **MAN (because if from Lev 12:3, it DOESN’T even say “a Man”, it says a “Male Child”; and since this would be YEARS later into one’s life, how could they have truly been circumcising A MAN on the EIGHTH DAY (of his birth))?** receives circumcision, **so that the law of Moses may not be broken**, are you angry with me because on the Sabbath (**on the SEVENTH DAY, Spiritually undoing in advance what they were trying to do, i.e. have a Man already Cut Off before the true EIGHTH DAY, because it is a SIN (which brings death) to put cuttings in the body (Deut 23:1, Leviticus 21:5, Deut 14:1 etc) as He alluded to in verse 19**) I made a **MAN’S whole body well?** (*I.e. healed a Man’s Body from this perversion, since a Man was created whole and uncut in contrast to their physical Mutilation of part of a Male Child’s Body*) 24 Do not judge by **appearances**, (*these vain, carnal fools couldn’t see past the literal – they even say a man’s penis that hasn’t been mutilated by them doesn’t “look right”*) but judge with right judgment.

This deception has been in place in order to try to kill the MAN (Yahshua), which includes any member of His body! It is a SIN to put cuttings in the body ([Deut 23:1](#), [Leviticus 21:5](#), [Deut 14:1](#) etc). This world’s practice is not proven to be a Command as it stands. It is evident; you cannot even command any actual child to be Mutilated on a literal eighth day (literally counting from his birth), because it OFTEN and easily falls on the **SEVENTH DAY/SHABBAT**. That point is proven by Yahshua above in showing that Yahweh carefully commanded this work to be done in a way “so that the Law of Mosheh may not be broken”. But these ignorant fools probably don’t even recall how the Plan of Yahweh is of a 7 Day/7000 year Time Frame. So why would you count fixed days of the Week from a child’s birth?

You would think that they would have at least tried to associate the EIGHTH DAY that Yahweh Commanded with the EIGHTH DAY of The Feast of Sukkoth/Tabernacles/Booths ([Leviticus 23:36, 39](#)) with the Circumcision of a Male Child ([Leviticus 12:3](#)), because surely that Day comes when YAHWEH says (in respect of Moons, and not by the fixed days of the Week given to Man). But then again, that would prove that it is not a literal infant mutilation, since that Day only comes Once a Year and is always a Sabbath of Rest.

Has anyone even thought of or been made aware that many [infants DIE from circumcision](#)? It sounds like someone’s god is shooting craps with a person’s life, because he literally wants the flesh of one’s penis and the blood and pain that inevitably accompanies it. Far be it that our Creator and Supreme Intelligent Being be prehistorically barbaric. Hospitals

can't even contain potentially deadly infections from circumcisions and they have sterile environments and instruments! But we are to think that in [Yahshua/ "Joshua" 5](#), he literally performed 100's of THOUSANDS of circumcisions/mutilations in an unsanitary DESERT with knives made of ROCK?!

*Yahshua /"Joshua" 5:2-3*

<sup>2</sup> At this time Yahweh said to [Yahshua], 'Make flint knives and circumcise the Israelites again (a second time).

<sup>3</sup> [Yahshua] made flint knives and circumcised the Israelites on the Hill of Foreskins.

*Yahshua /"Joshua" 5:8-9*

<sup>8</sup> When the circumcising of the whole nation was finished, they stayed resting in the camp till they were well again. <sup>9</sup> Yahweh then said to [Yahshua], 'Today I have taken the shame of Egypt away from you.' Hence, the place has been called Gilgal ever since.

I wonder why Jews don't literally take a ROCK and hack their children, since Flint Knives are what is used by Yahshua and Zipporah? Well, their stupidity will be too obvious then that they don't live by the EVERY Word of Yahweh, because no razor or drugs are shown to be used in Scripture for circumcision, and there is a word for razor in Hebrew Scripture.

Has it ever been questioned why it says to Circumcise them a SECOND TIME? Can you literally MUTILATE a person a second time and call it "circumcision"?

**Notice:** *Commentary on the Old Testament, by Keil & Delitzsch. (Jos 5:2–8)*

**Josh. 5:2–8.** At that time (sc., the time of their encampment at Gilgal, and when the Canaanites were in despair) Joshua had the people "*circumcised again, the second time.*" The word שְׁנִיית (a second time) is only added to give emphasis to שָׁבַר, or as an explanation of it, and **is not to be pressed, either here or in Isa. 11:11, as though it denoted the repetition of the same act in every respect, i.e., of an act of circumcision which had once before been performed** upon the whole nation. It merely expresses this meaning, "circumcise the people again, or the second time, as it was formerly circumcised" (**i.e., a circumcised people, not in the same manner in which it once before had circumcision performed upon it**). When the people came out of Egypt they were none of them uncircumcised, as distinctly affirmed in v. 5; but during their journey through the wilderness circumcision had been neglected, so that now the nation was no longer circumcised, and therefore it was necessary that circumcision should be performed upon the nation as a whole, by circumcising all who were uncircumcised.

Yes, the nation had been Circumcised by a Circumcision that was not like what they had once before. They were given True Spiritual Circumcision by YAHWEH. You have to also remember that this chapter speaks of them wandering 40 YEARS and not being Circumcised. Now if it is speaking of literal mutilation, then those men would have already been cut off from their people according to the Laws of Pesach/"Passover". Moreover, they would not have even been permitted to eat the Passover or any Holy Offerings while uncircumcised.

*Genesis 17:14 (Positive Law 215)*

<sup>14</sup> **The uncircumcised male, whose foreskin has not been circumcised—that person must be cut off from his people: he has broken my covenant.**

*Exodus 12:48-49 (Prohibitive Law 127)*

<sup>48</sup> Should a stranger residing with you wish to keep the Passover in honour of Yahweh, all the males of his household must be circumcised: he will then be allowed to keep it and will count as a citizen of the country. But no uncircumcised person may eat it. <sup>49</sup> The same law will apply to the citizen and the stranger resident among you. **No uncircumcised person may eat of the Passover Lamb.** (See also *Leviticus 22:10 (Prohibitive Law 135)*)

Furthermore, Scripture even EXPLAINS the concrete expression of Circumcising the Flesh as being figurative of Rolling Away the Reproach of Egypt from off you ([Yahshua/"Joshua" 5:8-9](#)). The Apostles also explained it in similar terms of Stripping Away rulers and principalities ([Colossians 2:11, 15](#)). And has everyone forgotten that Scripture says the Laws of Yahweh are Spiritual and need to be discerned spiritually? **Romans 7:14 "We are well aware that the Law is spiritual..."**

***1 Corinthians 2:13-14*** “These things we also speak, not in words which man’s wisdom teaches but which the **Holy Spirit teaches, comparing spiritual things with spiritual.** <sup>14</sup> But the natural man does not receive the things of the Spirit of God, for they are foolishness to him; nor can he know *them*, **because they are spiritually discerned.**” (NKJV)

What is more interesting is the fact that you won’t find any in depth definition under circumcise/circumcision relating to anything other than genitals in modern abridged dictionaries. However, in an older [Webster’s Revised Unabridged Dictionary \(1913\)](#), you will find the definitions that spiritually correspond to what Yahweh explained in [Yahshua 5](#) and [Colossians 2](#):

*Webster’s Revised Unabridged Dictionary (1913 + 1828)*

[Circumcise](#) (Page: [258](#))

**Cir`cum\*cise** (?), v. t. [imp. & p. p. [Circumcised](#) (?); p. pr. & vb. n. [Circumcising](#).] [L. circumcisus, p. p. of circumcidere to cut around, to circumcise; circum + caedere to cut; akin to E. cæsura, homicide, concise, and prob. to shed, v. t.]

1. To cut off the prepuce of foreskin of, in the case of males, and the internal labia of, in the case of females.
2. (Script.) **TO PURIFY** spiritually.

[Circumcision](#) (Page: [258](#))

**Cir`cum\*cision** (?), n. [L. circumcisio.]

1. The act of cutting off the prepuce or foreskin of males, or the internal labia of females. *&hand*; *The circumcision of males is practiced as a religious rite by the Jews, Mohammedans, etc.*
2. (Script.) (a) The Jews, as a circumcised people. (b) **Rejection of the sins of the flesh; spiritual purification, and acceptance** of the Christian faith.

These wicked men will flee now that they are exposed. It is a criminal act against humanity, Faith, religion and the world. These deceived devils practice this sick mutilation ritual under the guise of religion. The world will pursue them for this criminal act of attempting to end the life of the Savior of the Gentiles.

**Luke 4:29-32**

29 And they rose up and put him out of the city, and led him to the brow of the hill on which their city was built, that they might throw him down headlong. 30 But passing through the midst of them he went away. 31 And he went down to Capernaum, a city of Galilee. And he was teaching them on the Sabbath; 32 and they were astonished at his teaching, for his word was with authority. (RSV)

**Yeshayah/”Isaiah” 9:1**

Nevertheless the gloom will not be upon her who is distressed, As when at first He lightly esteemed The land of Zebulun and the land of Naphtali, And afterward more heavily oppressed her, By the way of the sea, beyond the Jordan, **In Galilee of the Gentiles.**

**Zecharyah 9:9**

9 —Rejoice greatly, O daughter of Zion! Shout, O daughter of Jerusalem! Behold, **your King is coming to you;** He is just and having salvation, **Lowly and riding on a donkey, A colt, the foal of a donkey.**

